

A.O. No. 160 of 2001

Hon'ble A.A. Desai, C.J.

Hon'ble P.C. Verma, J.

Learned counsel Mr. Gupta argued at length that the deceased at the relevant time was not in the employment of the vehicle owner, which was insured with the appellant. He stressed on this ground at length. With the assistance of learned counsel we have perused the finding recorded by the Tribunal in this behalf. The Tribunal has reached to a conclusion that the deceased at the relevant time was not in the employment of respondent No. 1, who was recorded owner. At this stage, another -- of argument of Mr. Gupta is that the vehicle was transferred by way of agreement in favour of father of deceased. However, this plea as raised has no legitimate support and, therefore, rightly rejected by the Tribunal.

We do not see any merit in the appeal.
The appeal is, therefore, dismissed.

(A.A. Desai, C.J.)

(P.C. Verma, J.)

Dt. 01.05.2001